

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/900,771	Applicant(s) MASE ET AL.	
	Examiner Kevin M. Bernatz	Art Unit 1773	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Kevin M. Bernatz.

(3) _____.

 (2) Michael Scheer.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 March 2007
Time: A<
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 all


Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the Examiner indicated that the argued and disclosed invention appeared to be limited to the specific classes of phase change materials of pervoskite oxides and corundum vanadium oxides. The Examiner noted that there was some concern whether Applicants had enablement and/or sufficient evidence of possession for the full scope of the claims. The Examiner suggested amending claims 1 and 13 to positively recite that the phase-change substance was either a pervoskite oxide or a corundum vanadium oxide. Applicants agreed to the proposed amendment..